



**NFI and Emergency Shelter
DISTRIBUTION REPORT**

Report Date*: 7/05/2020 <i>(The report when it submitted to cluster)</i>	Distribution Date(s): 17, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 April 2020
Pipeline Request Reference* <i>(if the distributed items come from pipeline)</i>	Pipeline Request Number*: Waybill Number:14466

Distribution Location Information	
State*	Upper Nile
County*	Ulang
Payam*	Greater Ulang Payams
Exact location/Boma*	Nyengore, Barmach, Rirnyang, Wunbut, Nyanthor, Nyang, Boun, Nyarwich, Parial, Wathjaak, Mariaal, Wechlitda, Bianylual, Kerchoat, Ruplet, Wechnyaath and Wechkoari
GPS Coordinates	Latitude: _____ Longitude: _____
Site/settlement Type*	PoC <input type="checkbox"/> Collective Centres <input type="checkbox"/> Others (specify) Communal Settlement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Displacement Type*	Conflict affected <input type="checkbox"/> Disaster affected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) _____ <input type="checkbox"/>

Distribution Team Details			
Name	Agency	Title	Contact (email, mobile, sat-phone)
Bandak Lual Waal	TADO	S/NFI Officer	bandaklualwaal@gmail.com 0917600408/0928000408
Dak Lew Bol	TADO	S/NFI Officer	
Kun Lim Koryoam	TADO	Field Coordinator	kunkoryom@gmail.com

Beneficiary Numbers: Breakdown by Population and Support Type
(please provide ONLY actual data collected from the distribution list, not estimations)

NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFI)												
Population Type*: <i>Please indicate the percentages of each population type (e.g. New IDPs 80%, HC 20%)</i>	New IDPs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>49</u> %, Protracted IDPs <input type="checkbox"/> _____%, Returnees <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>35.2</u> %, Host Communities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>15.8</u> %, Others (specify) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> _____%											
HOUSEHOLDS	INDIVIDUALS											
Total Households Assisted	TOTAL		0 – 4 years		5 – 17 years		18 – 59 years		60 + years		Vulnerability (total)	
	M	F	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M		
1867	4075	7127	1952	697	251 4	204 0	257 3	1321	88	17		11202
EMERGENCY SHELTER												



Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter

Population Type*:	New IDPs <input type="checkbox"/> _____ %, Protracted IDPs <input type="checkbox"/> _____ %, Returnees <input type="checkbox"/> _____ %, Host Communities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ % Others (specify) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> _____ %											
HOUSEHOLDS	INDIVIDUALS											
Total Households Assisted	TOTAL		0 – 4 years		5 – 17 years		18 – 59 years		60 + years		Vulnerability (total)	
	M	F	M		F	M	F	M	F	M	F	

Beneficiary Numbers: Breakdown by Location

If the distribution took place in multiple locations and/or the beneficiaries had multiple places of origin, please complete this table indicating the number of beneficiaries per location and/or place of origin.

Payam	Boma	Households	Individuals	
Ulang	Barmach	170	Total	1020
			Total Female	857
			Total male	163
	Nyengore	156	Total	936
			Total Female	631
			Total Male	305
	Rirnyang	195	Total	1170
			Total Female	743
			Total male	427
	Wunbut	213	Total	1278
			Total Female	786
			Total male	492
Kewer/Kuich	Wechlitda	30	Total	180
			Total Female	103
			Total male	77
	Kerchoat	32	Total	192
			Total Female	125
			Total Male	73
	Bianylual,	28	Total	168
			Total Female	93
			Total Male	75
	Ruplet	31	Total	186
			Total Female	97
			Total Male	89
	Wechnyaath	33	Total	198
			Total Female	107
			Total Male	91
	Wechkoari	76	Total	456
			Total Female	289
			Total Male	167



Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter

Yomding	Wathjaak	120	Total	720
			Total Female	442
			Total Male	278
	Mariaal	80	Total	480
			Total Female	254
			Total Male	226
Doma	Nyanthor	137	Total	822
			Total Female	477
			Total Male	345
	Buong,	132	Total	792
			Total Female	489
			Total Male	303
	Nyarwich	135	Total	810
			Total Female	567
			Total Male	243
	Nyang	139	Total	834
			Total Female	469
			Total Male	365
	Parial	159	Total	954
		Total Female	598	
			Total Male	356

Stock Distributed

Procuring organization and warehouse from which stock was sourced	IOM – Malakal Warehouse		
Quantity of each item distributed per household <i>- specify variations by household size</i>	1.Mosquito net(1pcs)		
	2. Cotton Kanga cloth (1pcs)		
	3.Plastic sheet (1pcs)		
	4.Rubber rope(1 bundle)		
Total quantity of each item distributed in the response	1.mosquito net (1,867 pcs)		
	2.Plastic sheet (1,867 pcs)		
	3. Cotton Kanga cloth (1,867 pcs)		
	4.Rubber rope (1,867 bundles)		

If the quantity of the distributed items is different with what was requested, please explain here.

- There was no difference between the quantity requested and the quantity distributed. All the registered beneficiaries were served with their items

Type of Item	Originating Items	Style/Version #	Size	Colour	Other
1.Mosquito net	IOM	2016	Length 190cm	White	



Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter

			Width 180 cm		
			Height 150 cm		
2. Plastic sheet	IOM		4m by 6m	White	
3. Kanga	IOM			Assorted	
4. Rubber rope	IOM			Black	

Summary of Distribution

- Where was the distribution held and how was it organised?
- What and how were beneficiaries informed?
- How was equal access ensured for men, women, girls and boys?
- How was order maintained during the distribution?
- How was APP mainstreaming activities implemented during the distribution (please refer to the distribution checklist)

The distribution was held in multiple locations based on decisions made in consultation with local authorities; this is to reduce the amount of travel by beneficiaries and safety reasons.

For the case of **Nyengore** and **Barmach**, TADO in consultation with the local authorities and ROSS proposed TADO Compound as the right ground for the distribution mainly due to availability of facilities including latrines, drinking water and spaces to accommodate reasonable number of beneficiaries during the exercise whereas for other locations distribution was carried out in ROSS compound.

Substantial mobilization was done before the distribution exercise with the help of the hired community mobilizers and the chiefs to convey the message of the distribution to the beneficiaries **(3) days** before the distribution using the local language (**Nuer**). Pre-distribution meeting was held with the ROSS coordinator, Boma and village chief and TADO team to choose the distribution sites and their days jointly and to communicate the measures of the distribution exercises.

Crowd controllers were recruited to ensure equality in accessing the distribution site meanwhile different lines were set for elderly, pregnant & lactating, men, women, boys and girls. The beneficiaries were sent in shifts from each line to the enumerators' desk for thumb printing against their respective names, lastly the tokens were taken from each beneficiary and NFIs item issued to him or her, hence order was maintained during the distribution.

AAP mainstreaming activities were implemented by involving beneficiaries, volunteers and community leaders in the exercise. This is to give them a sense of responsibility in the response and shows a shared accountability for both humanitarian workers and aid recipients in the process.

A rapid distribution monitoring was conducted through KIIs and FGDs to get feedback from different group of beneficiaries targeted.

A complaint feedback desk was availed for tackling complaints and grievances that would arise. Non Violent Peace force, a TADO staff and a community leader from all locations constituted the CFM committee.

What actors were involved in the decision-making regarding the distribution process?
- Explain what role the following people had in the process: local authorities, partners, humanitarian officers, volunteers, beneficiaries, etc.

TADO being the lead agency were responsible for the whole distribution process right from coordination, receiving of the supplies, transportation of the items on the ground to the respective centre, handing over of the items to the beneficiaries, supervision of the entire process, training of the casual labourers, training of the beneficiaries on the usage of the NFIs at their house hold levels and effecting most of the payment at the field level.



Local Authorities: the local chiefs and office of the commissioner accorded maximum support, security updates, guidance, and cooperation to the team throughout the distribution process.

ROSS - the ROSS coordinator engaged physically in supervising and controlling of the crowd and attending to and solving of some complaints by the beneficiaries.

Casual labourers, the team hired various volunteers to help in the distribution process with their assigned tasks describes as, Crowd controllers, ensured the beneficiaries remained in their lines and controlled unnecessary movement of the beneficiaries, while enumerators also played a paramount role during the distribution desk as they check and verify the tokens of the beneficiaries and make beneficiaries to sign and Community Mobilizers; helped in disseminating the information to the beneficiaries regarding the distribution date, time and venues

Log Cluster: Facilitated the movement of SNFI items from Malakal warehouse to TADO compound in Ulang.

NGOs: Nonviolent Peace force (NP); Provided guidance on technical issues e.g. Identifying people with protection concerns, as well as giving precautionary **COVID19** messages to the beneficiaries.

The complaint & Feedback Committee: The complaint committee which composed of the beneficiary's representatives and local leaders were responsible for receiving all the complaints from the beneficiaries before reporting to the distribution, they act as link between the distribution team and the beneficiaries in regards to information sharing

Changes from assessment recommendations

-If there were any changes to the original plan, please explain what changes occurred and why they were necessary. This including reason of difference of the number of HHs targeted and receiving the items, and actions taken if there are remaining items after the distribution.

There were no changes to the original plan and neither were there changes in the number of HHs targeted nor the number of the items distributed.

Targeting criteria

-Who finally received and why? Did the group to be targeted change in any way between the initial assessment and those who received on distribution day?

Those who finally received were ones whose needs were assessed, verified and registered because their needs have been identified. There hasn't been any change regarding the group targeted between the time of initial assessment and during distribution time.

Challenges and lessons learned

-Did you face any major challenges, and/or learn any useful lessons?

Challenges:

- Delay of supplies by Log Cluster to preposition items from Malakal warehouse to Ulang County thus delaying the distribution process.
- There were some people who were not registered during the verification & registration exercise and were demanding that the distribution team should consider them during the distribution exercise since they were not present during verification and registration.

Lessons Learnt:



- Community engagement during the response was so great with led to the successful and timely completion of the response.
- Engagement of local authorities especially the village chiefs throughout the project cycle (especially during registration and verification) is crucial in identifying the actual flood response victim's i.e. the beneficiaries to be targeted.

Anecdotes, Stories, Photos

-Please share any interesting or illustrative stories of people's experiences, responses, and needs; and photos



Figure: 1 Women in queue for NFIs distribution in Kuich Payam



Fig:2



Fig:3

Figure 2 and 3 Show beneficiaries prioritising handwashing during distribution as precaution for COVID19.



Fig:4 Shows NFIs ready for distribution.



Fig:5 Shows beneficiary exchanging smiles with distribution team member after she receives her NFIs.

Please submit your report to: scsouthsudan-pipeline@googlegroups.com
Thank you!



Shelter Cluster South Sudan
ShelterCluster.org

Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter

